



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
-----------------	-------------	----------------------	---------------------	------------------

10/697,481

10/30/2003

Elia Schwartz

P26,048-A USA

7410

23307

7590

04/05/2006

SYNNESTVEDT & LECHNER, LLP
2600 ARAMARK TOWER
1101 MARKET STREET
PHILADELPHIA, PA 191072950

EXAMINER

BUSS, BENJAMIN J

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

2129

DATE MAILED: 04/05/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No. 10/697,481	Applicant(s) SCHWARTZ ET AL.	
	Examiner Benjamin J. Buss	Art Unit 2129	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 October 2003 and 12 May 2004.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-25 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to:
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☒ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 10/3/03 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- | | |
|---|---|
| 1) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) <input type="checkbox"/> Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____ |
| 2) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) | 5) <input type="checkbox"/> Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) |
| 3) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>3/1/2004</u> . | 6) <input type="checkbox"/> Other: _____ |

Art Unit: 2129

DETAILED ACTION

Claims 1-25 are pending in this application.

Priority

- 5 Examiner acknowledges Applicant's claim for priority based on 60/422,787 filed on 10/31/2002.

Specification

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities:

- 10 - ¶11: Change "monitored equipment is presently experience the disorder/failure associated" to -- monitored equipment is presently experiencing the disorder/failure associated --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Objections

Claims 6 is objected to because of the following informalities:

- 15 - Claim 6 (p34 L3): Change "failure is communication from" to -- failure is communicated from --.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

- 20 The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.

- 25 Claim 25 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to enable one skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and/or use the invention. It is not feasible to have a new disorder for a respective object that does not have a statistically significant correlation to a known profile while also having this profile accessible for diagnosis of disorders of similar objects. This indicates that there are multiple similar outliers being diagnosed at the same time and the knowledge from one diagnosis is available to other simultaneous diagnoses in progress. Examiner recommends changing L9 on page 40 from "disorders of similar
- 30

Art Unit: 2129

objects at locations" to either -- disorders of similar subsequent objects at locations -- or to -- disorders of similar objects in the future at locations --.

35

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

40

Claims 1, 14, 20-21, and 23-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

- Claim 1 recites the limitation "the distributed objects" in L10. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 1 recites the limitation "said knowledge base" in L14. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claim 14 recites the limitation "predetermined disorder patterns" in L10 of p35. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.
- Claims 20-21 and 23-25: The term "statistically significant" in claims 20-21 and 23-25 is a relative term which renders the claim indefinite. The term "statistically significant" is not defined by the claim, the specification does not provide a standard for ascertaining the requisite degree, and one of ordinary skill in the art would not be reasonably apprised of the scope of the invention. It is unclear how the statistical significance is to be determined. Applicant should define the phrase "statistically significant" in the claims. The person of ordinary skill in the art should not have to guess the conditions under which a correlation is "statistically significant".

50

55

Appropriate corrections are required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

60

Art Unit: 2129

Claims 20-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. The computer system must set forth a practical application of a §101 judicial exception to produce a real-world result. Benson, 409 U.S. at 71-72, 175 USPQ at 676-77. The invention is ineligible because it has not been limited to a substantial practical application. Simply identifying an object as experiencing a known disorder condition does not have any affect on a real world situation.

In determining whether the claim is for a "practical application," the focus is not on whether the steps taken to achieve a particular result are useful, tangible, and concrete. If the claim is directed to a practical application of the §101 judicial exceptions producing a result tied to the physical world that does not preempt the judicial exception, then the claim meets the statutory requirement of 35 U.S.C. §101.

The phrases 'comparing data gathered', 'identifying said first object as experiencing the known disorder condition', are not clear in purpose or scope.

The invention must be for a practical application and either:

- 1) specify transforming (physical thing – article) or
- 2) have the Final Result (not the steps) achieve or produce a
useful (specific, substantial, AND credible),
concrete (substantially repeatable/non-unpredictable), AND
tangible (real world/non-abstract) result
(tangibility is the opposite of abstractness).

A claim that is so broad that it reads on both statutory and non-statutory subject matter must be amended, and if the specification discloses a practical application but the claim is broader than the disclosure such that it does not require the practical application, then the claim must be amended.

Claims that acquire data, correlate the data to known profiles, and identify the correlation which is most statistically significant are not statutory. Claims additionally adding newly identified profiles to a database for objects with no statistically significant correlation to existing profiles are also not statutory.

Appropriate corrections are required.

Art Unit: 2129

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

90 The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

95

Claims 1-4, 6, 12-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Deb_{July2000}** ("Remote Diagnosis Server").

Claim 1:

100

Deb_{July2000} anticipates:

- A plurality of data acquisition computers, each of said plurality of data acquisition computers being operatively connected for gathering data from corresponding sensors monitoring a corresponding object (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "sensor agents" p1C1, "data stream ... detailed sensor data" p2C1, "sensor data from distributed systems" p4C2, and "sensor agent" p5C1);
- 105 - A diagnostic computer system remotely located from said plurality of data acquisition computers, said diagnostic computer system comprising (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially p2C2-p6C1):
 - o A database for storing status data relating to the distributed objects, said status data being gathered by said plurality of data acquisition computers (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "TEAMS-KB" p2C2-p6C1);
 - 110 o An expert system configured for performing signal processing to analyze said status data and identifying disorders of the distributed objects as a function of a correlation between said status data and data stored in said knowledge base (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "TEAMS-RT" p2C2-p5C1); and
 - 115 o An alarm system for automatically generating an alarm upon identification of a disorder of one of the distributed objects (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "generate comprehensive, global system health reports... failure predictions... before it actually happens" p4C2-p5C1); and
- A server computer located remotely from the objects, said server computer being operatively connected to said diagnostic computer system for communication of data therewith, said server computer storing in its

Art Unit: 2129

memory a knowledge base for storage of data relating to various types of distributed objects, and information relating to said diagnostic computer system (page 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "broker" p2C2-p5C1).

Claim 2:**Deb_{July2000}** anticipates:

- Wherein said expert system stores data acquisition rules identifying data acquisition parameters, said expert system being operatively connected to said plurality of data acquisition computers to automatically cause data to be gathered from a monitored object in accordance with corresponding data acquisition parameters identified by a rule applicable to the monitored object (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially p1C1, p2C1, and p4C2-p5C1; *This system clearly has data acquisition rules and parameters which control the sensor agents. Additionally, this is shown in that "The system is built upon "The essential constructs of the RDS framework...described in [8]" (p3C1) which states "The Sensor-Agent is responsible for acquisition of sensor signals, signal conditioning (if necessary) and ... may retrieve commands to be executed from supervisor TEAMS-RT ... mode changes and drill-down tests that will allow quicker or more precise diagnosis."* (**Deb_{May2000}**, "Telediagnosis: Remote monitoring of large-scale systems", especially p34C2) and "The sensor client loads the list of sensors it is supposed to monitor, identify system modes and sensor rates/timing information etc., and start retrieving sensor data. It may invoke the preprocessing module's signal processing routines and test decision rules to convert test data into test results." (**Deb_{May2000}**, "Telediagnosis: Remote monitoring of large-scale systems", especially p35C1)).

Claim 3:**Deb_{July2000}** anticipates:

- Wherein said expert system is configured to vary its signal processing according to signal processing results reflecting a current health status of a monitored distributed object, said expert system being configured to automatically vary such signal processing according to predetermined rules stored in the expert system (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially p5C2-p6C2; *It is clear that TEAMS-RT varies its signal processing "based on the test outcome" (p5C2), which is the health status of a monitored distributed object, and by "reporting results only when the diagnosis changed" (p6C2). Additionally, this configuration is shown in that "The system is built*

Art Unit: 2129

upon "The essential constructs of the RDS framework...described in [8]" (p3C1) which states "the Sensor-Agent may retrieve commands to be executed from supervisor TEAMS-RT ... include mode changes and drill-down tests that will allow quicker or more precise diagnosis." (*Deb_{May2000}*, "Telediagnosis: Remote monitoring of large-scale systems", especially p34C2)).

Claim 4:**Deb_{July2000}** anticipates:

- Wherein the knowledge base stores object-specific rules regulating data acquisition, signal processing, monitoring and system operation (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "on multiple systems ... diagnose multiple failures" p2C1 and "health assessment ... detailed sensor data ... remote monitoring solution" p2C1 and "diagnostic state of each of the systems" p4C1 and "TEAMS-KB ... model and diagnostic data management ... maintenance related actions" p4C1 and "remote health monitoring ... sensor data form the distributed systems ... updates the health status ... generate comprehensive, global system health reports and analyses of all the different systems" p5C1. Additionally, this configuration is shown in that "The system is built upon "The essential constructs of the RDS framework...described in [8]" (p3C1) which states "The sensor client loads the list of sensors it is supposed to monitor, identify system modes and sensor rates/timing information etc., and start retrieving sensor data. It may invoke the preprocessing module's signal processing routines and test decision rules to convert test data into test results." (*Deb_{May2000}*, "Telediagnosis: Remote monitoring of large-scale systems", especially p35C1)).

Claim 6:**Deb_{July2000}** anticipates:

- Wherein said knowledge base of said server includes information relating to a type of object, and wherein said diagnostic computer system stores information relating to a specific object monitored by a corresponding data acquisition computer, wherein detection of a new pattern of failure is communication from said diagnostic computer system to said server for future use in diagnosis of remotely located objects (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "diagnostic state of each of the systems" p4C1 and "Diagnostic data management ... predicting parts requirements" and also "ambiguity group" p5C2-p6C1).

Art Unit: 2129

175

Claim 12:

Deb_{July2000} anticipates:

- Wherein each monitored object is conceptually decomposed to a relatively small set of basic components (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "components that comprise the model" p4C1).

180

Claim 13:

Deb_{July2000} anticipates:

- Wherein the conceptual decomposition relates to a type of distributed objects (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "components that comprise the model" p4C1).

185

Claim 14:

Deb_{July2000} anticipates:

- Wherein the predetermined disorder patterns relate to the basic components (pages 6.B.2-1 though 8 especially "TEAMS-RT" p2C2-p5C1 and "models and components that comprise the model" p4C1; *The "predetermined disorder patterns" are interpreted to be whatever is in the database to correlate to, since those patterns have been necessarily been determined prior to the point in time during which the correlation is performed*).

190

Claim 15:

Deb_{July2000} anticipates:

- Wherein the system automatically adds to the knowledge base a new disorder pattern that does not correspond to a predetermined disorder pattern (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "create and modify models" p4C1 and "capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and prediction parts requirements" p4C1 and also "ambiguity group" p5C2-p6C1).

195

200

Art Unit: 2129

Claim 16:**Deb_{July2000}** anticipates:

- Wherein the new disorder pattern is automatically related to all distributed objects of a related type (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "create and modify models" p4C1 and "capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and prediction parts requirements" p4C1 and also "ambiguity group" p5C2-p6C1).

Claim 17:**Deb_{July2000}** anticipates:

- Wherein the knowledge base comprises:
 - o A rule domains entity including a data acquisition rule domain (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "sensor agents" p1C1, "data stream ... detailed sensor data" p2C1, "sensor data from distributed systems" p4C2, and "sensor agent" p5C1);
 - o A signal processing rule domain (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "processed by offsite reasoners" p2C2 and "remote reasoning services ... assessment and diagnosis" p2C2 and "consisting of ... and TEAMS-KB" p2C2 and "different diagnostic agents" p4C2 and "utilize the failure and diagnostic history of different systems" p4C2 and "TEAMS-RT agent to process the data" p5C1),
 - o A system customization rule domain (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "custom database systems" p4C1 and "controlling the functionality of the different diagnostic agents" p4C2),
 - o A disorder recognition confidence rule domain (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "improves confidence in system ... continuously and accurately assessed, with a high degree of certainty" p1C2 and p4C2-p6C1),
 - o An archiving rule domain (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "TEAMS-RT agent retrieves the health report from the server and writes them ... logs the report to TEAMS-KB for further analysis" p5C1 and "health status report ... logged in TEAMS-KB" p5C1-p5C2 and "generate comprehensive, global system health reports" p4C2 and "data captured are used by TEAMS-KB for parts management and prediction" p6C1),

Art Unit: 2129

- o A report generation rule domain (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "health status report ... logged in TEAMS-KB" p5C1-p5C2 and "generate comprehensive, global system health reports" p4C2 and "RT-agent reporting results only when the diagnosis changed" p6C2-p7C1), and
- o A data transmission rule domain (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "transmit data" p1C1 and "transmitting sensor data" p2C2 and "accessible over internet and modems" p7C2).

(It is clear that in order to perform a task, the rules for performing that task must be known by the system.)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 5 and 7-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Deb_{July2000}** ("Remote Diagnosis Server") in further view of **Mikhael** (USPN 7,016,885).

Claim 5:

Deb_{July2000} fails to teach:

- Wherein the system for identifying disorders of the distributed objects calculates probabilities of predefined patterns of typical disorders as being a currently observed disorder.

Mikhael teaches:

- Wherein the system for identifying disorders of the distributed objects calculates probabilities of predefined patterns of typical disorders as being a currently observed disorder (C1-14 especially "In FIG. 6, the pattern with the highest probability of occurrence is identified ... a signal with higher probability is classified with fewer classification stages compared with a signal with lower probability ... for recognition" C9:20-C10:7 and "The number of stages required ... pattern ... the probability of occurrence of signals differs from one signal to the other ... order of their probability" C14:55-67).

Motivation:

Deb_{July2000} and **Mikhael** are from the same field of endeavor, signal processing and classification. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb_{July2000}** by calculating probabilities of predefined patterns being observed as taught by **Mikhael** for the benefit of having a system "that continuously enhances itself using all the information available up to that point" (**Mikhael** C3:50-65) and is "capable of recognizing an enormously large number of patterns" (**Mikhael**

Art Unit: 2129

C5:40-63) using a classifier capable of yielding "100% classification accuracy" (**Mikhael** C7:30-50) "to enhance the system's reliability particularly under nonideal conditions" (**Mikhael** C10:40-50) since this "is expected to lead to an overall reduction in the average time and amount of computations required for recognition" (**Mikhael** C10:1-7).

260

Claim 7:**Deb**_{July2000} fails to teach:

- Wherein the diagnostic computer system relates to a current disorder pattern and a predefined disorder pattern stored in the knowledge base as points in multi-dimensional space.

265

Mikhael teaches:

- Wherein the diagnostic computer system relates to a current disorder pattern and a predefined disorder pattern stored in the knowledge base as points in multi-dimensional space (C1-14 especially "multidimensional signals ... transform domain" C4:40-55, "transforms" C5:10-30 and "pattern recognizer extracts the features in parallel, from more than one transform domain" C6:14-31 and "transform" C11:40-56 and "multidimensional signal classification ... projections of the signals ... in multiple transform domains" C14:30-45).

270

Motivation:

Deb_{July2000} and **Mikhael** are from the same field of endeavor, signal processing and classification. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb**_{July2000} by relating observed patterns to predefined patterns stored as points in multi-dimensional space as taught by **Mikhael** for the benefit of having a system "that continuously enhances itself using all the information available up to that point" (**Mikhael** C3:50-65) and is "capable of recognizing an enormously large number of patterns" (**Mikhael** C5:40-63) using a classifier capable of yielding "100% classification accuracy" (**Mikhael** C7:30-50) "to enhance the system's reliability particularly under nonideal conditions (**Mikhael** C10:40-50) since this "is expected to lead to an overall reduction in the average time and amount of computations required for recognition" (**Mikhael** C10:1-7).

280

Claim 8:**Deb**_{July2000} fails to teach:

Art Unit: 2129

- Wherein the diagnostic computer system provides a current disorder classification as a function of a distance between points representing typical disorders and a point representing the current disorder.

Mikhael teaches:

- Wherein the diagnostic computer system provides a current disorder classification as a function of a distance between points representing typical disorders and a point representing the current disorder (C1-14 especially "distance" C10:25-55).

Motivation:

Deb_{July2000} and **Mikhael** are from the same field of endeavor, signal processing and classification. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb**_{July2000} by performing classification as a function of a distance between points as taught by **Mikhael** for the benefit of having a system "that continuously enhances itself using all the information available up to that point" (**Mikhael** C3:50-65) and is "capable of recognizing an enormously large number of patterns" (**Mikhael** C5:40-63) using a classifier capable of yielding "100% classification accuracy" (**Mikhael** C7:30-50) "to enhance the system's reliability particularly under nonideal conditions (**Mikhael** C10:40-50) since this "is expected to lead to an overall reduction in the average time and amount of computations required for recognition" (**Mikhael** C10:1-7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 9-10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Deb**_{July2000} ("Remote Diagnosis Server") in view of **Deb**_{May2001} ("Remote Diagnosis Server Architecture").

Claim 9:**Deb**_{July2000} fails to teach:

- wherein the diagnostic computer system creates an online analytical model of probability trends for object disorders.

Deb_{May2001} teaches:

- wherein the diagnostic computer system creates an online analytical model of probability trends for object disorders (pages 988-997 especially "statistical data analysis ... trend and anomaly detection/isolation" p989).

Art Unit: 2129

Motivation:

Deb_{July2000} and **Deb**_{May2001} are from the same field of endeavor, remote diagnosis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb**_{July2000} by using data mining for trend and anomaly detection/isolation as taught by **Deb**_{May2001} for the benefit of aiding in reliability analysis, online monitoring and diagnosis, scheduled and unscheduled maintenance management, and system testing while utilizing a common model of the system.

Claim 10:**Deb**_{July2000} teaches:

- Wherein the diagnostic computer system forecasts a time when corrective actions should be taken to correct a disorder of the monitored object by future extrapolation of said analytical model (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "predicting parts requirements" p4C1 and "utilize the failure and diagnostic history of different systems ... to display failure predictions thus allowing ... before it actually happens" p5C5-p6C1; Also see Figure 1).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claim 11 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Deb**_{July2000} ("Remote Diagnosis Server") and **Deb**_{May2001} ("Remote Diagnosis Server Architecture") in further view of **Menard** (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0184065).

Claim 11:The combination of **Deb**_{July2000} and **Deb**_{May2001} fails to teach:

- Wherein a threshold for the extrapolation is defined by heuristic rules stored in the knowledge base.

Menard teaches:

- Wherein a threshold for the extrapolation is defined by heuristic rules stored in the knowledge base (pages 1-11 especially "data analysis process ... analyzes the values of the collected datapoints to determine if a threshold has been exceeded ... a Reasoning System ... predictive analysis engine probable cause of a

Art Unit: 2129

notification or an estimated time to failure ... corrective action ... automatically ... predictions based on a
340 threshold value ... pattern matches information in a knowledge base with a high degree of certainty" ¶50).

Motivation:

Deb_{July2000}, **Deb**_{May2001} and **Menard** are from the same field of endeavor, system diagnosis. It would have
been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the combined teachings of
Deb_{July2000} and **Deb**_{May2001} by defining a threshold for the extrapolation using heuristic rules stored in the
345 knowledge base as taught by **Menard** for the benefit of satisfying the needs for monitoring and infrastructure
management of modern business systems by capturing and representing expert knowledge about
infrastructure components, and monitoring their behavior (**Menard** ¶11) and determining what type of analysis
is needed and diagnosing problems and conditions, making predictions based on a threshold value for
datapoints (**Menard** ¶50).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Claims 18 and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Deb**_{July2000} ("Remote Diagnosis
Server") in view of **Menard** (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0184065).

Claim 18:

Deb_{July2000} fails to teach:

- Wherein the knowledge base stores threshold values relating to diagnostic parameters for each basic component, and a disorder pattern for each basic component.

Menard teaches:

- Wherein the knowledge base stores threshold values relating to diagnostic parameters for each basic component, and a disorder pattern for each basic component (pages 1-11 especially "data analysis process ... analyzes the values of the collected datapoints to determine if a threshold has been exceeded ... a Reasoning System ... predictive analysis engine probable cause of a notification or an estimated time to failure ...
360 corrective action ... automatically ... predictions based on a threshold value ... pattern matches information in a knowledge base with a high degree of certainty" ¶50).

Art Unit: 2129

Motivation:

Deb_{July2000} and **Menard** are from the same field of endeavor, system diagnosis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb_{July2000}** by storing threshold values relating to the diagnosis for each component and a pattern for each component as taught by **Menard** for the benefit of satisfying the needs for monitoring and infrastructure management of modern business systems by capturing and representing expert knowledge about infrastructure components, and monitoring their behavior (**Menard** ¶11) and determining what type of analysis is needed and diagnosing problems and conditions, making predictions based on a threshold value for datapoints (**Menard** ¶50).

Claim 19:**Deb_{July2000}** teaches:

- Wherein information relating to a specific distributed object is obtained automatically during a customization step before initiation of monitoring and diagnosis of the specific distributed object (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "separation of the system-specific knowledge" p2C1 and "models that fit the configuration of the specific system that is being diagnosed" p3C1).

Deb_{July2000} fails to teach:

- Wherein diagnostic indicator disorder thresholds are obtained.

Menard teaches:

- Wherein information relating to a specific distributed object, and diagnostic indicator disorder thresholds, are obtained automatically during a customization step before initiation of monitoring and diagnosis of the specific distributed object (pages 1-11 especially "data analysis process ... analyzes the values of the collected datapoints to determine if a threshold has been exceeded ... a Reasoning System ... predictive analysis engine probable cause of a notification or an estimated time to failure ... corrective action ... automatically ... predictions based on a threshold value ... pattern matches information in a knowledge base with a high degree of certainty" ¶50).

Motivation:

Deb_{July2000} and **Menard** are from the same field of endeavor, system diagnosis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb_{July2000}** by storing

Art Unit: 2129

threshold values relating to the diagnosis for each component and a pattern for each component prior to initiation of monitoring and diagnosis as taught by **Menard** for the benefit of satisfying the needs for monitoring and infrastructure management of modern business systems by capturing and representing expert knowledge about infrastructure components, and monitoring their behavior (**Menard ¶11**) and determining what type of analysis is needed and diagnosing problems and conditions, making predictions based on a threshold value for datapoints (**Menard ¶50**).

This claim is not functionally distinctive over what is normally done, since basic system information is usually known, and thresholds are usually set, prior to initiation of a monitoring/diagnosis/analysis of one or more objects.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

Claims 20 and 23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by **Deb_{May2001}** ("Remote Diagnosis Server Architecture").

Claim 20:

Deb_{May2001} anticipates:

- Providing a data acquisition system for acquiring data from a first object during its operation, said data acquisition system acquiring data for certain operating parameters for said first object (pages 988-997 especially "sensor agents" p1 and "sensor data" p991 and p995-996 and "data collection" p989);
- Providing a database of disorder profiles for various objects including said first object, said database of disorder profiles comprising data for the certain operating parameters that is representative of a known disorder condition (pages 988-997 especially "TEAMS-KB" 988-989);
- Comparing data gathered from said system to the data of said disorder profiles to identify any disorder profile having a respective statistically significant correlation (pages 988-997 especially "statistical data analysis" p989 and "multisignal model" p988-989 and "Failure Modes" p989); and
- Identifying said first object as experiencing the known disorder condition corresponding to the corresponding disorder profile having a most statistically significant correlation (pages 988-997 especially "detection" p989 & p996 and "health assessment" p990 & p996 and "diagnosis" p988-997).

Art Unit: 2129

Claim 23:425 **Deb**_{May2001} anticipates:

- A plurality of data acquisition computers, each of said plurality of data acquisition computers being operatively connected for gathering data from a corresponding sensor monitoring a component of a corresponding object (pages 988-997 especially "sensor agents" p1 and "sensor data" p991 and p995-996 and "data collection" p989);
- 430 - A server computer located remotely from the objects, said server computer being operatively connected to said plurality of data acquisition computers for communication of data therewith, said server computer storing in its memory a database of disorder profiles for various types of basic components of objects, each of said disorder profiles comprising data for the certain operating parameters that is representative of a known disorder condition (page 988-997 especially "broker" p988-997 and "TEAMS-KB" p988-989);
- 435 - A diagnostic computer system (pages 988-997 especially "TEAMS-RT" p988-991) remotely located from said plurality of data acquisition computers, said diagnostic computer system being configured for:
 - o Comparing gathered data relating to said component to data of said disorder profiles corresponding to a similar basic component to identify any disorder profile having a respective statistically significant correlation (pages 988-997 especially "statistical data analysis" p989 and "multisignal model" p988-
 - 440 989 and "Failure Modes" p989); and
 - o Identifying said corresponding object as experiencing the known disorder condition corresponding to the disorder profile having the most statistically significant correlation (pages 988-997 especially "statistical data analysis" p989 and "detection" p989 & p996 and "health assessment" p990 & p996 and "diagnosis" p988-997).

445

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this

Office action:

450

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having

Art Unit: 2129

ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

455 Claims 21-22 and 24-25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over **Deb**_{May2001} ("Remote Diagnosis Server Architecture") in view of **Deb**_{July2000} ("Remote Diagnosis Server").

Claim 21:**Deb**_{May2001} fails to teach:

460 - Adding to the database as a new disorder profile the data gathered from said data acquisition system for said first object if said data does not have a statistically significant correlation to any of said disorder profiles.

Deb_{July2000} teaches:

465 - Adding to the database as a new disorder profile the data gathered from said data acquisition system for said first object if said data does not have a statistically significant correlation to any of said disorder profiles (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "create and modify models" p4C1 and "capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and prediction parts requirements" p4C1 and also "ambiguity group" p5C2-p6C1).

Motivation:

470 **Deb**_{May2001} and **Deb**_{July2000} are from the same field of endeavor, remote diagnosis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb**_{May2001} by creating and modifying models and components that comprise the mode, including capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and predicting parts requirements as well as further testing ambiguous groups which do not have a statistically significant until the failure is isolated and then logging the session history and capturing the parts replacement data, which can be used for future

475 diagnosis and prognosis, as taught by **Deb**_{July2000} for the benefit of managing models and test libraries, providing an integrated environment for model development, and allowing easy ties to existing custom database systems that perform maintenance related actions.

Claim 22:

480 **Deb**_{May2001} teaches:

Art Unit: 2129

- Providing a second data acquisition system to acquire data from a second object during its operation, said second data acquisition system acquiring data for certain operating parameters for said second object (pages 988-997 especially "sensor agents" p1 and "sensor data" p991 and p995-996 and "data collection" p989);

Deb_{May2001} fails to teach:

485

- Whereby said new disorder profile is available for consideration of disorder of said second object.

Deb_{July2000} teaches:

490

- Providing a second data acquisition system to acquire data from a second object during its operation, said second data acquisition system acquiring data for certain operating parameters for said second object (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "sensor agents" p1C1, "data stream ... detailed sensor data" p2C1, "sensor data from distributed systems" p4C2, and "sensor agent" p5C1);
- Whereby said new disorder profile is available for consideration of disorder of said second object (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "create and modify models" p4C1 and "capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and prediction parts requirements" p4C1 and also "ambiguity group" p5C2-p6C1).

495

Motivation:

500

Deb_{May2001} and **Deb**_{July2000} are from the same field of endeavor, remote diagnosis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb**_{May2001} by creating and modifying models and components that comprise the mode, including capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and predicting parts requirements as well as further testing ambiguous groups which do not have a statistically significant until the failure is isolated and then logging the session history and capturing the parts replacement data, which can be used for future diagnosis and prognosis, as taught by **Deb**_{July2000} for the benefit of managing models and test libraries, providing an integrated environment for model development, and allowing easy ties to existing custom database systems that perform maintenance related actions.

505

Claim 24:**Deb**_{May2001} fails to teach:

Art Unit: 2129

- Wherein said diagnostic computer system is configured to add to the database as a new disorder profile for a respective basic component the data gathered from said component if said data does not have a statistically significant correlation to any of said disorder profiles.

Deb_{July2000} teaches:

- Wherein said diagnostic computer system is configured to add to the database as a new disorder profile for a respective basic component the data gathered from said component if said data does not have a statistically significant correlation to any of said disorder profiles (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "create and modify models" p4C1 and "capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and prediction parts requirements" p4C1 and also "ambiguity group" p5C2-p6C1).

Motivation:

Deb_{May2001} and **Deb_{July2000}** are from the same field of endeavor, remote diagnosis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb_{May2001}** by creating and modifying models and components that comprise the mode, including capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and predicting parts requirements as well as further testing ambiguous groups which do not have a statistically significant until the failure is isolated and then logging the session history and capturing the parts replacement data, which can be used for future diagnosis and prognosis, as taught by **Deb_{July2000}** for the benefit of managing models and test libraries, providing an integrated environment for model development, and allowing easy ties to existing custom database systems that perform maintenance related actions.

Claim 25:**Deb_{May2001}** teaches:

- A plurality of data acquisition computers, each of said plurality of data acquisition computers being operatively connected for gathering data from a corresponding sensor monitoring a corresponding monitored object (pages 988-997 especially "sensor agents" p1 and "sensor data" p991 and p995-996 and "data collection" p989);
- A plurality of diagnostic computers (pages 988-997 especially "TEAMS-RT" p988-991), each of said plurality of diagnostic computers being configured to:

Art Unit: 2129

- o Compare data gathered from said corresponding monitored object to data of a pre-existing disorder profile for a similar object to identify a respective statistically significant correlation (pages 988-997 especially "statistical data analysis" p989 and "multisignal model" p988-989 and "Failure Modes" p989);

- o Identify said corresponding monitored object as experiencing the known disorder condition if there is a statistically significant correlation (pages 988-997 especially "statistical data analysis" p989 and "detection" p989 & p996 and "health assessment" p990 & p996 and "diagnosis" p988-997); and

- o Identify a new disorder condition of the corresponding monitored object that does not have a statistically significant correlation to the known disorder condition (pages 988-997 especially "evolve with the system" p988); and

- A server computer located remotely from the objects, said server computer being operatively connected to said plurality of diagnostic computers for communication of data therewith, said server computer storing in its memory a database of disorder profiles for various objects, each of said disorder profiles comprising data for the certain operating parameters that is representative of a known disorder condition (pages 988-997 especially "broker" p988-997 and "TEAMS-KB" p988-989);

Deb_{May2001} fails to teach:

- Wherein each of said diagnostic computers is configured to add to the server's database as a new disorder profile for a respective object the data gathered from said corresponding monitored object that represents said new disorder condition if said data does not have a statistically significant correlation to any disorder profile of any known disorder condition;
- Whereby said new disorder profile is retained at said remotely located server and is accessible for diagnosis of disorders of similar objects at locations distinct from said corresponding monitored object.

Deb_{July2000} teaches:

- Wherein each of said diagnostic computers is configured to add to the server's database as a new disorder profile for a respective object the data gathered from said corresponding monitored object that represents said new disorder condition if said data does not have a statistically significant correlation to any disorder profile of any known disorder condition (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "create and modify models" p4C1 and

Art Unit: 2129

"capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and prediction parts requirements" p4C1 and also "ambiguity group" p5C2-p6C1);

- 565 - Whereby said new disorder profile is retained at said remotely located server and is accessible for diagnosis of disorders of similar objects at locations distinct from said corresponding monitored object (pages 6.B.2-1 through 8 especially "create and modify models" p4C1 and "capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and prediction parts requirements" p4C1 and "utilize the failure and diagnostic history of different systems" p4C2 and also "ambiguity group" p5C2-p6C1).

570 Motivation:

Deb_{May2001} and **Deb**_{July2000} are from the same field of endeavor, remote diagnosis. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to modify the teachings of **Deb**_{May2001} by creating and modifying models and components that comprise the mode, including capturing and managing diagnostic test and session history logs, managing schedules and tracking and predicting parts requirements as well as

575 further testing ambiguous groups which do not have a statistically significant until the failure is isolated and then logging the session history and capturing the parts replacement data, which can be used for future diagnosis and prognosis, as taught by **Deb**_{July2000} for the benefit of managing models and test libraries, providing an integrated environment for model development, and allowing easy ties to existing custom database systems that perform maintenance related actions.

580

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

- Van Oort (USPN 6,695,790)
- Litt (USPN 6,658,287)
- 585 - Discenzo (USPN 6,326,758)
- House (US Patent Application Publication 2004/0044499)
- Lundstedt (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0154044)
- Kehr (US Patent Application Publication 2003/0036683)
- Blemel (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0038199)
- 590 - Burge (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0103662)

Art Unit: 2129

- Bocionek (USPN 6,551,243)
- Takagi (USPN 6,385,502)
- Chio (US Patent Application Publication 2002/0120201)
- Benediktsson ("Neural Network Approaches Versus Statistical Methods in Classification of Multisource Remote Sensing Data")

595

Claims 1-12, 15-18, and 20-25 are rejected.

Correspondence Information

300

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Benjamin J. Buss whose telephone number is 571-272-5831. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9AM-5PM.

305


If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David Vincent can be reached on 571-272-3080. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

310

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Benjamin J Buss
Examiner
Art Unit 2129

BJB

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'B. J. Buss' with a stylized flourish at the end.